## Assignment 6.

This homework is due *Thursday*, October 10.

Collaboration is welcome. If you do collaborate, make sure to write/type your own paper and *credit your collaborators*. Your solutions should contain full proofs. Bare answers will not earn you much. Extra problems (if there are any) are due December 6.

## 1. Quick reminder

Measurable sets form a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ . The Lebesgue measure is a function  $m: \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}$  defined as  $m(A) = m^*(A)$ .

The Lebesgue measure m has the following properties:

- $m(I) = \ell(I)$  for every interval I.
- m is translation invariant: for any  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ , for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$m(A+y) = m(A).$$

• m is countably additive, i.e. for measurable disjoint set  $\{A_k\}$ ,

$$m\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m\left(A_k\right).$$

## 2. Exercises

- (1) (2.4.17) Show that the set E is measurable if and only if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a closed set F and open set O for which  $F \subseteq E \subseteq O$  and  $m^*(O \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ . (*Hint:* Use outer approximation of E by open sets and inner approximation of E by closed sets.)
- (2) ( $\sim$ 2.4.18) Let E have finite outer measure. Show that E is measurable if and only if there is an  $F_{\sigma}$  set F and a  $G_{\sigma}$  set G such that

$$F \subseteq E \subseteq G$$
 and  $m^*(F) = m^*(E) = m^*(G)$ .

(Terminology: a set that is a countable union of closed sets is called an  $F_{\sigma}$  set. A set that is a countable intersection of open sets is called a  $G_{\sigma}$  set.)

(3) (2.6.33) Let E be a nonmeasurable set of finite outer measure. Show that there is a countable collection of open set  $\{O_k\}$  s.t.  $G = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} O_k$  contains E and

$$m^*(E) = m^*(G)$$
, but  $m^*(G \setminus E) > 0$ .

(4) (a) (Continuity of m from below; Theorem 2.5.15i) Let  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \ldots$  be a countable collection of measurable sets. Show that

$$m\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} m(A_k).$$

(*Hint:* Switch to disjoint sets. Then limit becomes a sum of series.)

— see next page —

(b) (Continuity of m from above; Theorem 2.5.15ii) Let  $B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq ...$  be a countable collection of measurable sets and  $m(B_1) < \infty$ . Show that

$$m\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k\right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} m(B_k).$$

(Hint: Complement of intersection is union of complements.)

- (c) (2.6.25) Show that the assumption  $m(B_1) < \infty$  above is necessary.
- (5) (2.7.39) Let F be the subset of [0,1] constructed in the same manner as the Cantor set except that each of the intervals removed at nth deletion stage has length  $\alpha/3^n$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  (rather than  $1/3^n$ ). Show that F is a closed set,  $[0,1] \setminus F$  is dense in [0,1], and  $m(F) = 1 \alpha$ . Such set F is called a generalized Cantor set.

(Reminder: a set E is *dense* in [0,1] if any open interval in [0,1] contains a point from E.)

(6) (2.7.40) Show that there is an open set of real numbers that, contrary to intuition, has a boundary of positive measure. (*Hint:* Consider the complement of generalized Cantor set.)

(Reminder: for a set  $A \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is a boundary point of A if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , interval  $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$  contains a point from A and from  $\mathbb{R} \setminus A$ . Boundary of a set A is the set of all its boundary points.)

(7) (2.7.44+) A subset A of  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be *nowhere dense* in  $\mathbb{R}$  provided that every open set O has an open subset that is disjoint from A. Show that the Cantor set and the generalized Cantor set are nowhere dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Comment. Hence there are nowhere dense sets of positive measure.